

EVALUATION OF PAPERS PRESENTED IN PODIUM SESSIONS FOR CONSIDERATION OF AWARDS/PRIZES

	SCORE			
	0	1	2	3
Title is specific and informative				
Design of the study is appropriate				
Hypothesis and objectives are clearly presented				
The rationale for the project and appropriate literature are included				
Methodology is clearly and completely explained				
Explores the significance and limitations of the data				
The results are clearly presented				
Figures and tables - appropriate & easy to understand				
Inconsistent results and counter-evidences are addressed				
The conclusions are reasonable for the data gathered				
The discussion addresses the major implications of the findings				
All sources are cited correctly in the slide text where appropriate				
The study is likely to change the existing clinical practice				
Provides new information, insight or synthesis beyond the existing literature				
Text is written in clear English with proper grammar & appropriate font				
Presentation Style				
Slides are visually appealing, with quality graphics and photos				

Confident and professional; good eye contact, clear voice, etc.				
Pace of presentation				
Good timing (not too long or short)				
Answered questions appropriately				
Marks obtained for Full Paper Submitted (Out of 45)				
Marks obtained for Presentation (Out of 15)				
Total Marks (Out of 60)				

EVALUATION OF VIDEOS PRESENTED IN VIDEO SESSIONS DURING UAKON FOR CONSIDERATION OF AWARDS/PRIZES

	Score			
	0	1	2	3
Scientific Content				
Title is specific and informative				
Design of the study is appropriate				
Methodology is clearly and completely explained				
Explores the significance and limitations of the data				
The results are clearly presented				
The conclusions are reasonable for the data gathered				
The discussion addresses the major implications of the findings				
The study is likely to change the existing clinical practice				

Provides new information, insight or technique beyond the existing literature				
Video is edited in clear English with proper grammar & appropriate font				
Presentation Style				
Visuals are appealing, with quality graphics and photos				
Confident and professional; good eye contact, clear voice, etc.				
Good timing (not too long or short)				
Pace of presentation				
Answered questions appropriately				
Marks obtained for Full Video Submitted (Out of 30)				
Marks obtained for Presentation (Out of 15)				
Total Marks (Out of 45)				

EVALUATION OF POSTERS PRESENTED IN POSTER SESSION IN UAKON FOR CONSIDERATION OF AWARDS/PRIZES

Scientific Content	Score			
	0	1	2	3
The title, figures and graphs are relevant to the content				
The poster is self explanatory				
The poster displays coherent information				

Provides new information, insight or synthesis beyond available literature.				
Message clearly expressed				
Impact of the content in clinical practice				
Adequate discussion of literature with references.				
The visual appearance of the poster				
Presented relevant summary of the content of the poster in the slide presented during discussion				
Answered questions appropriately				
Total Score (Out of 30)				